Level and quality of protein in rations for lactating ewes
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Summary — The effect of 2 levels of crude protein in the ration (110 and 90% of theoretical requirements) and of 3 main protein sources in the concentrate (faba beans, soybean cake and fish meal) on changes in live weight and body condition score, milk production, plasma concentration of non-esterified fatty acids and urea, and lamb growth was studied in 36 lactating Rasa Aragonesa ewes rearing 2 lambs subjected to an energy restriction level of 30% of their theoretical requirements. The average decreases in live weight and body condition score of the ewes were not significantly affected by the two effects studied. The ewes that were given the higher protein level produced more milk, fat, protein, total dry matter and blood urea concentration than those that received the lower level (P < 0.05). A trend towards significance was observed in the maximum concentrations of non-esterified fatty acids according to the protein source (P = 0.07). No significant differences were found in the results of lamb growth.
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Résumé — Niveau et qualité des protéines dans la ration des brebis en lactation. On a étudié chez 36 brebis Rasa Aragonesa allaitant 2 agneaux, et ne recevant que 70% de leurs besoins énergétiques théoriques, l'effet de 2 niveaux de matières azotées totales dans la ration (110 et 90% des besoins théoriques) et de 3 sources de protéine dans l'aliment concentré (féveroles, tourteau de soja et farine de poisson), sur les changements de poids vif et de note d'état corporel, sur la production de lait, la concentration plasmatique d'acides gras non estérifiés et d'urée, ainsi que sur la croissance des agneaux. Les 2 effets étudiés n'ont pas modifié les pertes de poids vif et de note d'état corporel des brebis. Les mères avec le niveau le plus élevé de matières azotées dans la ration ont eu des productions de lait, de matière grasse, de matière azotée, de matière sèche totale et une concentration d'urée plasmatique plus élevées que celles avec un niveau plus bas (P < 0,05). Une tendance vers la signification a été observée dans la concentration maximum des acides gras non estérifiés selon la source de protéine utilisée (P = 0,07). Il n'a pas eu de différences significatives dans la croissance des agneaux.
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INTRODUCTION

The highest nutritional requirements of ewes occur during the first 4–6 weeks of lactation, when the energy and protein requirements for milk production may be up to 3 and 4 times higher than for maintenance (Robinson, 1988). During this period, the ewes cannot consume all the food that is necessary to meet their nutritional requirements, and therefore must mobilize their body reserves in order to compensate for the dietary deficiency (MLC, 1981).

For a specific level of ingested metabolizable energy, the increase in the protein content of the ration leads to a rise in milk production when the ewe has not reached its maximum milk production potential. This response seems to be enhanced in ewes with high restrictions in energy intake (Robinson et al., 1974; 1979), although positive responses have also been found with energy intake close to the theoretical requirements (Gonzalez et al., 1984). This phenomenon can be due either to a greater mobilization of body reserves (Robinson et al., 1974) or to an increase in the efficiency of utilization of these reserves for milk production (Cowan et al., 1981).

On the other hand, as the degradability of dietary protein in the rumen decreases, the amount of milk produced by the ewe increases (Robinson et al., 1979; González et al., 1982). Only a small part of the proteins exported in the milk comes from body reserves (about 20%; Cowan et al., 1981); the rest comes from the microbial proteins and from the dietary protein that has not been degraded in the rumen. Nevertheless, more recent results do not show a strong relationship between a lower degradability of protein and milk production (Penning et al., 1988; Ngongoni et al., 1989; Hadjipanayiotou, 1992).

The present study investigates the effect of the protein level in the ration and of the quality of the protein source in the concentrate on the yield and composition of the milk of lactating ewes. The energy requirements of these ewes are restricted to stimulate the mobilization of body reserves. Data are also presented for live weight, body condition score and plasma concentration of non-esterified fatty acids as indicators of body reserve mobilization.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty-six lactating Rasa Aragonesa ewes, each suckling 2 lambs, were used in this trial. They were kept in individual pens under a regime of energy intake restriction consisting of 30% of the theoretical requirements of a standard ewe of 40 kg live weight producing 1.50 kg milk/day (2.98 Mcal/d) (INRA, 1988). The ration was made up of barley straw (20%, 250 g DM/d), alfalfa hay (40%, 535 g DM/d) and concentrate (40%, 550 g DM/d).

During the 1st week of lactation, the ewes were given a ration with 215 g/d crude protein (CP) and soybean cake as the main protein source of the concentrate to use the initial milk production as a covariate to correct the total milk production. On the 8th day after lambing, they were distributed into 6 homogeneous treatments in terms of live weight (LW = 40.4 ± 5.28) and body condition score (BCs = 2.4 ± 0.32) at lambing (Russel et al., 1969), following a 2 x 3 factorial model, with 2 levels of CP in the ration and 3 main protein sources of different degradability in the concentrate. The first protein level (PL1 = 215 g CP/d, 16.1% CP) was 10% higher than the theoretical requirements of the standard ewe described earlier, which would lose 0.5 points of BCs in the first 6 weeks of lactation, while the second level (PL2 = 175 g CP/d, 13.1% CP) restricted those requirements by 10% (MLC, 1981). The 3 main protein sources studied in the concentrate were: faba beans (FB), soybean cake (SBC) and fish meal (FM). The duration of the experimental period was 37 d. Tables I and II show the composition of the feeds used.

The lambs were given commercial concentrate from the end of the experimental period (day 44 after lambing) until weaning (day 62).

The ewes and the lambs were weighed twice a week, and the body condition score of the ewes was determined once a week. The changes in live weight and body condition score were cal-
Milk production was estimated once a week by manual milking after injected oxytocin (Doney et al., 1979); the fat, protein and total solids of the milk were also determined. Total fat, protein, dry matter and milk production were estimated by the Fleischmann method.

Blood samples were taken from the jugular vein on a weekly basis before feeding in the morning for the determination of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) (Wako NEFAC Chemicals GmbH, Germany) and plasma urea (UREA COLOR Boehringer Biochemia Robin, Germany).

Data were subjected to analysis of variance, covariance, regression and correlation by means of the SAS statistical package (1987).

### RESULTS

Table III shows the intake of DM, CP and metabolizable energy (ME) by the ewes on the 6 treatments.

The effect of the level and quality of protein were not significantly different in live weight and body condition score changes, and the average decreases in LW and BCs were 5.3 ± 1.78 kg and 0.4 ± 0.17 points, respectively. Figures 1 and 2 represent the evolution of LW and BCs, respectively, as a function of time.

The milk production data are presented in table IV. The animals that were given the higher protein level (PL₁) produced more milk than those on the lower level (PL₂) (1.08 vs 0.95 kg/d; P < 0.05). When the total milk production was corrected for covariance by using the initial milk production as the covariate, the protein level effect remained, the new adjusted values being 1.06 (PL₁) and 0.97 kg/d (PL₂) (RSD = 0.119).

The ewes on PL₁ produced greater amounts of fat, protein and total dry matter than those on PL₂ (P < 0.05), but when the data were corrected for covariance, the effect
of protein level on fat was removed \( (P = 0.217; \text{RSD} = 16.035) \), partially on total dry matter \( (P = 0.096; \text{RSD} = 27.438) \) although it remained on protein \( \text{RSD} = 6.063 \).

No significant differences were found in the final live weights, mean daily gains, milk and total dry matter conversion index of the lamb litters, the mean values being \( 14.7 \pm 1.97 \text{ kg}; 197 \pm 34.4 \text{ g/d}; 5.21 \pm 0.742 \) and \( 0.99 \pm 0.149 \text{ kg/kg} \), respectively. However, higher concentrate intakes were observed during the 18 days preceding weaning in the litters corresponding to PL2 \( (6.3 \text{ vs 5.0 kg/litter}; P = 0.07) \). Figure 3 illustrates the
live weight evolution of the litters under each treatment, and shows that the slope of the curve of the litters from the ewes under the higher protein level with fish meal in the concentrate was higher than all the others.

A trend towards significance was observed in the maximum concentration of NEFA according to the protein source ($P = 0.07$; RSD = 0.309), which became significant once it was corrected for covariance by using the
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**Fig 2.** The evolution of the body condition score according to the protein level (— higher, --- lower) and the protein source (■ faba beans, + soybean cake, * fish meal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FB1</th>
<th>SBC1</th>
<th>FM1</th>
<th>FB2</th>
<th>SBC2</th>
<th>FM2</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PL x PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPI (kg/d)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPd (kg/d)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (%)</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>1.431</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fd (g/d)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (%)</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd (g/d)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD (%)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDd (g/d)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: $P > 0.10$; : $P < 0.05$; RSD = residual standard deviation; PL: 1 = higher, 2 = lower level of protein; PS: FB = faba beans; SBC = soybean cake; FM = fish meal.
initial concentration as the covariate ($P < 0.05$; RSD = 0.236). The new adjusted values were 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6 meq/l, respectively, for the ewes receiving faba beans, soybean cake and fish meal. Figure 4 illustrates the temporal pattern for the concentration of NEFA in blood. Finally, the ewes on the higher protein level presented a higher blood urea concentration than those on the lower level (51.1 vs 47.1 mg/dl; $P < 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

The losses of live weight and body condition score were the result of the strong energy restriction to which the ewes were submitted, with a significant relationship between these losses ($r = 0.53; P < 0.001$). When the LW and the BCs losses were investigated in relation to the initial LW and BCs respectively, it was observed that the ewes with the highest live weight and score at the beginning of the trial were those with the highest live weight ($r = 0.58; P < 0.001$) and score ($r = 0.66; P < 0.001$) losses. These results are in agreement with those found by Gibb and Treacher (1980), where the ewes with the best body condition at lambing were those with the greatest mobilization of body reserves. Likewise, Cowan et al (1982) found that the amount of lipids mobilized at the beginning of lactation was greater in the ewes with a higher initial fat content.

The higher milk production obtained at high level of CP ($P < 0.05$) seems to be the direct consequence of a higher daily protein intake, since according to Robinson et al (1974), when the intake of digestible organic matter remains constant, milk production increases as the crude protein/metabolizable energy (CP/ME) ratio increases. This response is more intense when the ewes are submitted to energy restrictions or when
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the CP/ME ratio does not exceed 70 g/Mcal. In this trial, the ratio was 67.5 and 56.8 g/Mcal, for levels PL₁ and PL₂, respectively. Furthermore, this higher milk production may have been the result of a greater efficiency in the use of body reserves for milk production, due to a higher protein content in the ration (Cowan et al, 1981).

The higher fat, protein and total dry matter productions in the milk from ewes on PL₁ as compared to those on PL₂ (P < 0.05) are the result of the higher milk production of the former as compared to the latter (P < 0.05), since there were no significant differences in the concentrations of these milk components. When the total fat and protein amounts secreted in the milk were related to the initial BCs, the correlation coefficients obtained were 0.78 and 0.63, respectively (P < 0.001). This fact suggests again that the ewes with the best body condition at lambing have a greater ability to mobilize their body reserves for milk production.

The mean daily gain of lamb litters was directly related to milk production (r = 0.64; P < 0.001), consumption of total dry matter (r = 0.67; P < 0.001) and energy from the milk (r = 0.65; P < 0.001), estimated from Alvarez et al (1985). The results obtained indicate that the lambs had to ingest about 5.2 kg milk (or 1.0 kg total dry matter) to gain 1 kg LW. This value is of the same magnitude as those proposed by INRA (1988) and MLC (1981).

The lambs born from the ewes on the lower protein level consumed greater amounts of concentrates in the 18 days preceding weaning (P = 0.07). During this period, however, the growth of the litters on the two protein levels was very similar (232 and 228 g/d, respectively, for levels PL₁ and PL₂),
which suggests that the ewes on PL2 continued to produce less milk during that period.

The high average NEFA concentrations (1.04 meq/l), due to the strong energy restriction (Chilliard et al, 1987), were of the same magnitude as those found by Robinson et al (1979) in ewes with 2 lambs submitted to a level of energy restriction similar to that of this trial. The highest NEFA concentrations corresponded to the highest milk productions (r = 0.44; P < 0.01). On the other hand, the average concentration of NEFA was significantly correlated with the BCS losses (r = 0.81; P < 0.001), which confirms NEFA concentration as a good indicator of fat mobilization.

The increase in plasma urea concentration as a result of the increase in the CP level of the ration (P < 0.05) has been observed by many authors. González et al (1984) found an increase from 23.8 to 49.1 mg/dl (P < 0.001) when the protein concentration in the ration increased from 12.8 to 18.6%. The relatively high urea concentrations observed (49.1 mg/dl) suggest the existence of high NH₃ concentrations in the rumen or the formation of urea as a consequence of the metabolism of the body proteins that presumably have been mobilized for milk production.
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